J. DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY
4 (1970) 187-192

A GENERALIZATION OF PARALLELISM IN RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY; THE C^∞ CASE

ALAN B. PORITZ

1. Introduction

Let $g: N^p \to M^m$ be a smooth (C^∞ or C^ω) immersion of riemannian manifolds. It is not assumed that the immersion is isometric. A smooth vector bundle map $G: T(N) \to T(M)$ between the tangent bundles will be called a *tangent bundle isometry* (T. B. I.) *along* g provided that the fibers $T(N)(n) = N_n$ are mapped isometrically by G into the fibers $T(M)(g(n)) = M_{g(n)}$. More generally, let E be a euclidean vector bundle over N, F be a euclidean vector bundle over M, and $G: E \to F$; then G will be called a *vector bundle isometry along* g if G maps the fibers $E(n)$ isometrically into the fibers $F(g(n))$. Let ∇ be the covariant derivative on M, and let $G: T(N) \to T(M)$ be a T. B. I. along g: $N^p \to M^m$. The *normal bundle to* G is the $(m-p)$-dimensional vector bundle $G^\perp$ (over N) whose fiber over $n \in N$ is the orthogonal complement, $\perp G(N_n)$, to $G(N_n)$ in $M_{g(n)}$. The *second fundamental form of* G, $II_G: G^\perp \to \mathrm{Hom}\,(T(N), T(N))$ is a vector bundle map defined in the following manner. If $v \in \perp G(N_n)$ and $x, y \in N_n$, extend y to a vector field Y on N in some neighborhood of n and put

$$\langle II_G(v)x, y\rangle_n = -\langle \nabla_{dg(x)} G(Y), v\rangle_{g(n)} .$$

Since ∇ is a metric connection, the definition is independent of the choice of Y. A T.B.I. G is *parallel* if $\mathrm{trace} \circ II_G: G^\perp \to R$ is the zero function.

Three pieces of evidence in support of this terminology were given in [2].

First, suppose that $\gamma: (a, b) \to M$ is a smoothly immersed curve, and let $d/dt: (a, b) \to T(a, b)$ be the standard unit vector field on (a, b). Then the formula

$$G\left(\frac{d}{dt}(t)\right) = Y(t) , \qquad t \in (a, b) ,$$

establishes a bijective correspondence between the set of T.B.I.s G along γ and the set of unit vector fields Y along γ. Under this correspondence the parallel T.B.I.s are paired with the parallel unit vector fields.

Communicated by S. S. Chern, May 3, 1969. This research was supported in part by NSF GP 4503.

Secondly, suppose $g: N^p \to M^m$ is a smooth isometric immersion. Then $G = dg$ is a T.B.I. along g. G is parallel if and only if (N, g) is a minimal variety (see [3]). In the particular case of a curve: $g = \gamma, N^1 = (a, b)$; $d\gamma$ is parallel if and only if γ is a geodesic.

Thirdly, the existence and uniqueness theorems for geodesics and parallel vector fields in terms of initial data can be mimiced (locally) in the C^ω case by existence and uniqueness theorems for minimal varieties and parallel T.B.I.s also in terms of appropriate initial data. The theorem for minimal varieties is well known (see [2] and [3]). The theorem for parallel T.B.I.s proved in [2] can be extended to the C^∞ case.

The main objective of the paper is to give a proof of this theorem for the C^∞ case (see § 3 for statement of theorem). Lemma 1 is also of some interest in itself. The paper is essentially self contained; it is completely independent of [2].

2. Some lemmas

Lemma 1. *Let $\gamma: (a, b) \to M$ be a C^∞ curve immersed in a riemannian manifold (M, ∇) with $0 \in (a, b)$, $D: (a, b) \to \mathcal{G}^q(M)$ a q-dimensional C^∞ distribution along γ, and $Z: (a, b) \to T(M)$ any C^∞ vector field along γ. Then each unit vector $X_0 \in \perp D(0) \subset M_{\gamma(0)}$ extends uniquely to a C^∞ vector field X along γ such that, $X(0) = X_0$ and, for all $t \in (a, b)$, $\|X(t)\| = 1$, $X(t) \perp D(t)$, and*

$$[\nabla_{\dot\gamma(t)} X]^{\perp \mathrm{span}(D(t), X(t))} = [Z(t)]^{\perp \mathrm{span}(D(t), X(t))} .$$

$(v)^V$ means orthogonal projection of v into the subspace V.

Remark. If $Z \equiv 0$ and $q = 0$, then X is the unique parallel vector field along γ which extends X_0. If Z_1 and Z_2 are two C^∞ vector fields along γ which have the same projections perpendicular to D, then the corresponding solutions X_1 and X_2 are equal. If $(a, b) = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, let $J: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ be the map $t \to -t$. The solution along $\gamma \circ J$, which extends $-X_0$ for the data $D \circ J$ and $Z \circ J$, is $-X \circ J$.

Proof of Lemma 1. The conclusion of the lemma is easily seen to be equivalent to the following: there is a unique C^∞ vector field X along γ such that:

$$* \qquad \begin{cases} X(0) = X_0 \text{ and, for all } t \in (a, b), X(t) \perp D(t) \text{ and} \\ [\nabla_{\dot\gamma(t)} X]^{\perp \mathrm{span}(D(t))} = [Z(t)]^{\perp (\mathrm{span}(D(t), X(t))} . \end{cases}$$

Choose a C^∞ frame field $W_1, \cdots, W_m$ along γ so that $D(t) = \mathrm{span}\,(W_1(t), \cdots, W_q(t))$ and $\perp D(t)) = \mathrm{span}\,(W_{q+1}(t), \cdots, W_m(t))$ and $W_{q+1}(0) = X_0$. If $Y_1, \cdots, Y_m$ form a parallel frame field along γ, there is a C^∞ curve $A = (A_{ij}): (a, b) \to 0(m, R)$ where $A_{ij}(t) = \langle W_i(t), Y_j(t)\rangle_{\gamma(t)}$ [here $0(m, R)$ is the group of $m \times m$

orthogonal matrices, its Lie algebra $o(m, R)$ is the set of $m \times m$ skew symmetric matrices]. Let A^* be the transpose curve of A and $(A^*)'$ its derivative, then $t \to A(t) \cdot (A^*)'(t)$ is easily seen to be a C^∞ curve in $o(m, R)$. Let $B: (a, b) \to o(m - q, R)$ be the C^∞ curve where $B(t)$ is the lower right hand $(m - q) \times (m - q)$ submatrix of $A(t) \cdot (A^*)'(t)$. The vector field Z can be written $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i W_i$ where $z_1, \cdots, z_m$ are C^∞ functions on (a, b). A C^∞ vector field $X = \sum_{i=q+1}^{m} x_i W_i$ along γ is a solution of $*$ if and only if the curve $\bar{X} = (x_{q+1}, \cdots, x_m)$: $(a, b) \to R^{m-q}$ is a C^∞ solution on (a, b) of the first order non-linear system

$$** \qquad \bar{X}' = \bar{Z} - \frac{\langle \bar{Z}, \bar{X} \rangle \bar{X}}{\langle \bar{X}, \bar{X} \rangle} - B(\bar{X})$$

subject to the initial data

$$\bar{X}(0) = (1, 0, \cdots, 0) .$$

Here $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ means euclidean inner product and $\bar{Z} = (z_{q+1}, \cdots, z_m)$: $(a, b) \to R^{m-q}$, while B is considered as acting on R^{m-q}. Solutions of $**$ have constant euclidean length since $B(t) \in o(m - q, R)$. In particular, the theory of ordinary differential equations shows that there is a unique C^∞ solution $\bar{X} = (x_{q+1}, \cdots, x_m)$ defined on (a, b) for the system $**$ satisfying the initial data. This completes the proof of the lemma.

The theory of ordinary differential equations also says that if the data in Lemma 1 depends differentiably on a parameter $\tilde{n}$ which runs over a differentiable manifold N^{p-1}, then the solutions also depend differentiably on $\tilde{n}$. This information is incorporated into Lemma 2 for later use.

Let $\varepsilon: N^{p-1} \to R$ be a positive C^∞ function. Then

$$V = \{(\tilde{n}, t) \mid |t| < \varepsilon(\tilde{n})\}$$

is an open submanifold of $N^{p-1} \times R$. Let $i: N^{p-1} \to V$ be the inclusion and denote by ∂ the C^∞ vector field defined on V by $\partial(n) = \partial(\tilde{n}, t) = (0, \partial/\partial t|_t)$; ∂ is the tangent vector at time t to the curve $t \to (\tilde{n}, t)$.

Lemma 2. *Suppose $f: V \to M$ is a C^∞ map into a riemannian manifold (M, ∇), $D: V \to \mathscr{G}^q(M)$ is a C^∞ q-dimensional distribution along f, $Z: V \to T(M)$ is a C^∞ vector field along f, and $X_0: N^{p-1} \to T(M)$ is a C^∞ unit vector field along $f \circ i$ orthogonal to $D \circ i$. Then there is a unique C^∞ vector field along f such that $X \circ i = X_0$ and, for all $n \in V$, $\|X(n)\| = 1$, $X(n) \perp D(n)$,*

$$[\nabla_{df(\partial(n))} X]^{\perp \mathrm{span}(D(n), X(n))} = [Z(n)]^{\perp \mathrm{span}(D(n), X(n))} .$$

To prove existence in Lemma 2, it is only necessary to note that for $\tilde{n} \in N^{p-1}$, the curves $\gamma_{\tilde{n}}: (-\varepsilon(\tilde{n}), \varepsilon(\tilde{n})) \to M$ where $\gamma_{\tilde{n}}(t) = f(\tilde{n}, t)$ and the data $D_{\tilde{n}} =$

$D\circ(\tilde{n}, \ ), Z_{\tilde{n}} = Z\circ(\tilde{n}, \ ), X_{0,\tilde{n}} = X_0(\tilde{n})$, fulfill the hypotheses of Lemma 1 and depend differentiably on $\tilde{n}$. Thus the solutions $X_{\tilde{n}}$ ($\tilde{n} \in N^{p-1}$) fit together to form a C^∞ solution X, $X(n) = X(\tilde{n}, t) = X_{\tilde{n}}(t)$, for Lemma 2. Uniqueness follows from the fact that any solution Y for Lemma 2 restricts to solutions $Y_{\tilde{n}} = Y\circ(\tilde{n}, \ )$, $\tilde{n} \in N^{p-1}$, for Lemma 1 where uniqueness is known.

3. The theorem

If $i\colon X \to Y$, and E is a vector bundle over Y, then $i_*\colon i^*E \to$ is the induced map of the induced bundle. A distribution on N and the subbundle of $T(N)$ which it defines will be denoted by the same letter.

Theorem. *Let $g\colon N^p \to M^m$ be an (not necessarily isometric) immersion of riemannian manifolds, H be a $(p-1)$-dimensional distribution on N^p, and (N^{p-1}, i) be a homeomorphically embedded integral manifold of H. Suppose there is given as initial data*

(1) *$G^{p-1}\colon H \to T(M)$, a vector bundle isometry along g,*

(2) *$G^p\colon i^*T(N^p) \to T(M)$ a vector bundle isometry along $g\circ i$,*

where it is assumed that G^{p-1} and G^p agree where they are both defined:

$$G^p|_{i^*H} = G^{p-1}\circ i_*\colon i^*H \to T(M) .$$

Then, assuming that the data is all C^∞, there is a neighborhood U of N^{p-1} in N^p and a unique parallel T.B.I. *$G\colon T(U) \to T(M)$ which extends the initial data:*

$$G|_H = G^{p-1}\colon H \to T(M) \quad \textit{and} \quad G\circ i_* = G^p\colon i^*T(N^p) \to T(M) .$$

Proof of the theorem. If $\tilde{n} \in N^{p-1}$, then there is a special coordinate system $u = (u_1, \cdots u_p)\colon \mathscr{U} \to R^p$ about $\tilde{n}$ in N^p which satisfies the following conditions.

a. The slices $u_1 =$ constant, $\cdots, u_{p-1} =$ constant are integral manifolds of the distribution $\perp H$.

b. $\mathscr{U} \cap N^{p-1}$ is the slice $u_p = 0$.

c. For $n \in \mathscr{U}$, $|u_p(n)|$ is the arc length measured along the integral manifold of $\perp H$ from $u(u_1(n), \cdots, u_{p-1}(n), 0) \in N^{p-1}$ to n.

d. The system is centered at $\tilde{n}$: $u_i(\tilde{n}) = 0, i = 1, \cdots, p$.

e. The system has breadth δ: $p \in u(\mathscr{U})$ if and only if $r_i(p) < \delta, i = 1, \cdots, p$. ($r_1, \cdots, r_p$ are the coordinates on R^p).

Such a coordinate system may be obtained by choosing a unit vector field which spans $\perp H$ in a neighborhood of $\tilde{n}$ in N^p. By [1, p. 89], there is a coordinate system $v = (v_1, \cdots, v_p)\colon \mathscr{U} \to R^p$ in which this vector field is $\partial/\partial v_p$. This system satisfies a. Since N^{p-1} has the relative topology and the integral manifolds of $\perp H$ cross N^{p-1} transversally it may be assumed that $v(N^{p-1} \cap \mathscr{U})$ appears as the graph of a C^∞ function k of the first $p-1$ coordinates $r_1, \cdots, r_{p-1}$ in $v(\mathscr{U}) \subset R^p$. The new coordinate system $u = (u_1, \cdots, u_p)\colon \mathscr{U} \to R^p$ where

$u_i = v_i, i = 1, \cdots, p-1$, and $u_p = v_p - k\circ(v_1, \cdots, v_{p-1})$ satisfies a, b and c. It is easily adjusted to satisfy d and e also.

Let $\{(\mathscr{U}^\alpha, u^\alpha) \mid \alpha \in J\}$ be a locally finite cover of N^{p-1} by such special coordinate systems, and the breadth of $(\mathscr{U}^\alpha, u^\alpha)$ be δ_α. For $\tilde{n} \in N^{p-1}$ let $\mathscr{I}(\tilde{n})$ be the maximal integral manifold of $\perp H$ through $\tilde{n}$. If $n \in \mathscr{I}(\tilde{n})$, let $L(\tilde{n}, n)$ be the arc length measured along $\mathscr{I}(\tilde{n})$ between $\tilde{n}$ and n. Choose a positive C^∞ function $\delta: N^{p-1} \to R$ such that for each $\tilde{n} \in N^{p-1}$, $\{n \in \mathscr{I}(\tilde{n}) \mid L(\tilde{n}, n) < 2\delta(\tilde{n})\} \cap N^{p-1} = \{\tilde{n}\}$. Finally, choose a positive C^∞ function $\varepsilon: N^{p-1} \to R$ so that $\varepsilon(\tilde{n}) < \min(\delta(\tilde{n}), \delta_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, \delta_{\alpha_k})$ where $\mathscr{U}^{\alpha_1}, \cdots, \mathscr{U}^{\alpha_k} (\alpha_j \in J)$ are the coordinate neighborhoods which contain $\tilde{n}$. Then

$$U = \bigcup_{\tilde{n} \in N^{p-1}} \{n \in \mathscr{I}(\tilde{n}) \mid L(\tilde{n}, n) < \varepsilon(\tilde{n})\}$$

is a neighborhood of N^{p-1} in N^p.

If $\tilde{n} \in N^{p-1}$, choose $(\mathscr{U}^\alpha, u^\alpha)$ so that $\tilde{n} \in \mathscr{U}^\alpha$ and put $V^\alpha = U \cap \mathscr{U}^\alpha$. Choose C^∞ orthonormal frames $F_1, \cdots, F_p$ on V^α adapted to $H|_{V^\alpha}$ [thus span $(F_1(n), \cdots, F_{p-1}(n)) = H(n)$] and such that $F_p(n) = \partial/\partial u_p^\alpha(n)$]thus span $F_p(n) = \perp H(n)$) for all $n \in V^\alpha$.

The initial data (1) determines both the vector field Z defined along $g|_{V^\alpha}$ by

$$Z(n) = -\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \nabla_{dg(F_i(n))} G^{p-1}(F_i) , \qquad n \in V^\alpha ,$$

and the $(p-1)$-dimensional distribution D defined along $g|_{V^\alpha}$ by

$$D(n) = G^{p-1}(H(n)) , \qquad n \in V^\alpha .$$

Also, the initial data (2) determines the vector field X_0 defined along $g\circ i|_{N^{p-1}\cap V^\alpha}$ by

$$X_0(n) = G^p(F_p(n)) , \qquad n \in N^{p-1} \cap V^\alpha ;$$

X_0 is orthogonal to $D\circ i|_{N^{p-1}\cap V^\alpha}$.

The formulas:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} G(F_i(n)) &= G^{p-1}(F_i(n)) , \quad i = 1, \cdots, p-1 , \\ G(F_p(n)) &= X(n) , \end{aligned}\right\} n \in V^\alpha ,$$

place the set of parallel T.B.I.s G along $g|_{V^\alpha}$, which extend the initial data (1) and (2), in one-to-one correspondence with the set of C^∞ vector fields X along $g|_{V^\alpha}$ which satisfy: $X_0 = X\circ i|_{N^{p-1}\cap V^\alpha}$ and, for all $n \in V^\alpha$, $\|X(n)\| = 1$, $X(n) \perp D(n)$,

$$[\nabla_{dg((\partial/\partial u_p^\alpha)(n))} X]^{\perp \mathrm{span}(D(n), X(n))} = [Z(n)]^{\perp \mathrm{span}(D(n), X(n))} .$$

By Lemma 2, there is exactly one parallel T.B.I. G^α along $g|_{V^\alpha}$ which extends the initial data.

In order to show that the locally defined G^α patch together into a T.B.I. G along $g|_U$ (where $G(n) = G^\alpha(n)$ if $n \in V^\alpha$) it is enough to show that on overlaps $V^\alpha \cap V^\beta$, $G^\alpha|_{V^\alpha \cap V^\beta} = G^\beta|_{V^\alpha \cap V^\beta}$. Lemma 2 applies to $V^\alpha \cap V^\beta$ (with the coordinates u^α) and yields a unique parallel T.B.I. $G^{\alpha,\beta}$ along $g|_{V^\alpha \cap V^\beta}$ which extends the initial data there. Thus $G^\alpha|_{V^\alpha \cap V^\beta} = G^{\alpha,\beta} = G^\beta|_{V^\alpha \cap V^\beta}$. The resulting T.B.I. G is both parallel and a unique extension of the initial data since it locally has these properties.

Bibliography

[1] C. Chevalley, *Theory of Lie groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.

[2] A. Poritz, *A generalization of parallelism in Riemannian geometry; The C^ω Case*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

[3] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 88 (1968) 62–105.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA